



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,384	09/22/2003	Masayuki Yokoyama	S-2481/CONT	7495
62479	7590	01/19/2007		
HAHN & VOIGHT PLLC 1012 14TH STREET, NW SUITE 620 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7A

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/666,384	Applicant(s) YOKOYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1618	

All Participants:

(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.

(2) Roger Hahn.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Mike Hartley.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10-11, 13-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Dr. James Rogers after consulting with his supervisor Mike Hartley, who gave the examiner permission to call applicants, contacted Roger Hahn the attorney of record for applicants of case number 10/666,384 to propose an examiners amendment. The examiner proposed canceling the composition claims 10-11 and 13-18 which would place claim 1 the process for production claim in condition for a provisional allowance. Mr. Hahn informed Dr. Rogers that he would consult the applicants on the proposed examiners amendment.